MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

STUDY & RESEARCH REPORT

THE AARON RUSSO INTERVIEW

Testing the Rockefeller Claims Against Two or Three Witnesses

November 8, 2026
Prepared for Elder Kepha Arcemont, Founder
miqdashbethel.ai • miqdashbethel@gmail.com

A Research Report — Not a Covenant Document

WHAT THIS REPORT EXAMINES

The PDF under study, titled ***"Aaron Russo interview,"*** is a 16-page transcript of an online video. It is not the raw interview. It mixes two voices: **Aaron Russo**, speaking in an interview recorded shortly before his death in 2007, and an unnamed **narrator** who adds commentary, background music cues, and nine chapter titles (from ***"The Rockefeller disclosure"*** to ***"Cultural engineering of society"***). The narrator also tells viewers to follow an outside link to an ***"uncensored"*** collection of other material.

The interview itself was made by radio host **Alex Jones** and later released as the film ***Reflections and Warnings: An Interview with Aaron Russo***. Russo's central claim is that a man he knew as **Nick (Nicholas) Rockefeller**, whom he describes as a member of the Rockefeller family, told him in private conversations what the world's banking powers planned: a world government, a cashless society run through implanted chips, and other things. This report pulls out each claim that can be checked, looks for two or three independent witnesses for it, and grades the result.

HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

Words quoted from the PDF are set in ***bold italic*** with the quotation marks. Each section states the claim, gives the witnesses found, and ends with a graded **Finding**. Numbers in brackets, such as [3], point to the numbered Sources list at the end of the report, where every web address is given so the reader can check the work.

A claim that happened in a private conversation between two men can only be confirmed by the other man or by a record made at the time. Where no such second witness exists, the claim is graded **Single Witness**. That grade does not say the claim is false. It says the claim has not been established. Following the standard of **Deuteronomy 19:15**, a matter is established at the mouth of two or three witnesses, and one witness alone does not settle it.

HOW THE FINDINGS ARE GRADED

GRADE	WHAT IT MEANS
Corroborated	Two or more independent, reliable sources confirm the claim.
Partly Corroborated	Part of the claim is confirmed, but part is overstated, missing context, or not supported.
Single Witness	Rests only on Russo's own word; no second witness or record could be found. Not proven either way.
Contradicted by the Record	Reliable records show the claim, as stated, is not true.
Opinion, Not a Testable Fact	A judgment or belief rather than a factual statement that can be checked.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#	CLAIM IN THE PDF	FINDING
1	Russo was a successful Hollywood producer who ran for governor of Nevada and died months after the interview	**Corroborated**
2	A man named Nick Rockefeller befriended Russo; photographs show them together	**Partly Corroborated**
3	That man was a member of the famous Rockefeller family	**Single Witness** (no record found in the family line)
4	Rockefeller foretold an ***"event"*** 11 months before 9/11	**Single Witness**
5	The ***"KMA"*** chip, Baywatch nightclubs, and moving Israel to Arizona	**Single Witness**
6	Joining the CFR requires a letter; the CFR is over a century old and tied to the Rockefellers	**Corroborated**

#	CLAIM IN THE PDF	FINDING
7	The CFR is ***"really about world domination"***	**Opinion, Not a Testable Fact**
8	Central banking and a graduated income tax are planks of the Communist Manifesto	**Corroborated** (with context)
9	Nixon suspended the dollar's convertibility into gold (the clip in the video)	**Corroborated**
10	The New York Fed runs the Federal Reserve System	**Partly Corroborated**
11	Private bankers create America's money and collect the interest	**Partly Corroborated**
12	The Bank of England, the Bundesbank, and all G8 central banks are private	**Contradicted by the Record**
13	Rothschild said whoever makes the money makes the rules	**Contradicted by the Record** (no source; first attributed 1935)
14	Europe voted down the EU Constitution and the leaders went ahead anyway	**Corroborated**
15	A North American Union with a new currency is coming	**Contradicted by the Record** (so far)
16	Cash is disappearing	**Partly Corroborated**
17	Everyone will be implanted with an RFID chip holding all their money	**Contradicted by the Record** (so far)
18	Britain's digital ID made it into the King's Speech	**Corroborated**
19	Anthropic's leaders have warned AI could become catastrophically dangerous	**Corroborated**
20	The Rockefellers funded women's liberation	**Partly Corroborated**
21	Before women's liberation, half the population could not be taxed	**Contradicted by the Record**
22	The motive was to tax women and indoctrinate children through the schools	**Single Witness**

I. WHO WAS AARON RUSSO?

> ***"I was a guy who was very successful in the movie business"*** (Russo)

The claim. Russo presents himself as a successful film man who entered politics, made a video called ***Mad as Hell***, and ran for governor of Nevada. The narrator adds that he died ***"in August 2007, just months after this interview."***

Witness One. Encyclopedic and film-industry records agree that Russo (February 14, 1943 – August 24, 2007) was an entertainment businessman and producer best known for ***Trading Places***, ***Wise Guys***, and ***The Rose*** [1][2]. The Associated Press reported at his death that he had managed Bette Midler and had been fighting cancer for nearly six years [3].

Witness Two. The ***Las Vegas Sun*** covered his 1998 run for governor of Nevada as a Republican; his opponent Kenny Guinn ran television ads using clips from Russo's ***Mad as Hell*** video, and Guinn won the primary easily [4][5]. Official returns show Guinn with 58.1 percent and Russo second with 25.9 percent [6].

Witness Three. The ***Las Vegas Review-Journal*** confirms he later announced a 2002 run as a Libertarian but dropped out when diagnosed with cancer [7].

One correction on the date. The PDF's narrator says the interview ***"was recorded in 2007."*** Some accounts place the recording in 2006 [8][9], while others say early 2007 [10]. The finished film was not released until 2009 [11]. The exact recording date is not settled, but it was within roughly a year before Russo died.

Finding: CORROBORATED. Russo's career, his Nevada campaign, and his death in August 2007 are confirmed by three or more independent sources

II. WHO WAS "NICK ROCKEFELLER"?

> ***"I had a friend Nick Rockefeller, okay, who was one of the Rockefeller family."*** (Russo)

The claim. Russo says a female attorney introduced them while he was running for governor, that they became friends, and that this man spoke for the Rockefeller family. The narrator adds: ***"There are photographs of Russo and Nicholas Rockefeller together. The relationship was real."***

II-A. Did the man exist, and did he know Russo?

A man named Nicholas Rockefeller is real. Researchers who examined the story found a Nicholas Rockefeller, apparently a lawyer, on a Council on Foreign Relations membership roster, possibly as a five-year ***"term member"*** [9]. Editors working on Russo's Wikipedia entry have pointed to a photograph of the two men together [8]. So it is fair to say the two men did meet. The photograph proves a meeting, not what was said.

II-B. Was he a member of the famous Rockefeller family?

This is where the record runs dry. A researcher who traced the descendants of John D. Rockefeller Sr. and of his brother William found no Nick or Nicholas in either line [9]. The same researcher notes that the CFR roster also lists other Rockefellers who are not related to the famous family, so a CFR listing proves no family tie [9]. Other investigators reached the same result: no Nicholas appears in the family tree [12][13]. The Rockefeller family itself has never confirmed him as a member, and he has never publicly confirmed Russo's account.

In short, a man named Rockefeller who belongs to the CFR is not the same thing as an heir of the Standard Oil fortune who speaks for the family.

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. The man existed and met Russo. That he belonged to the famous Rockefeller family rests on Russo's word alone (Single Witness), and genealogies checked so far do not show him

III. THE 9/11 FORECAST AND THE PRIVATE CONVERSATIONS

> ***"he's the one who told me uh 11 months before 9/11 ever happened that there was going to be an event."*** (Russo)

The claim. Rockefeller told Russo nearly a year before September 11, 2001 that an ***"event"*** was coming, though not what it would be. Other accounts of the same interview add that Rockefeller spoke of coming wars in Afghanistan and Iraq [8].

What can be checked. Nothing on the record confirms this conversation. There is no letter, recording, diary entry, or statement made before September 2001. One researcher points out that Russo, a public political activist, did nothing with this warning before the attacks and did not speak of it until years later, when he was promoting his 2006 film [9]. That does not prove Russo invented the story; it means the story has only one witness.

III-A. The other private stories

- **The "KMA" chip.** Rockefeller supposedly offered him an ID card or chip marked ***"KMA"*** that would make police leave him alone. No second witness, no such card produced.
- **The business offers.** Help with investments and a chain of ***"Baywatch nightclubs."*** No second witness or record found.
- **Moving Israel to Arizona.** Rockefeller supposedly spoke of paying every Israeli a million dollars to relocate to Arizona. The PDF's own narrator concedes it ***"never went beyond an idea"*** as far as anyone knows. No second witness found.

Finding: SINGLE WITNESS. Every one of these stories rests on Russo alone. They cannot be confirmed or disproved from the public record

IV. THE COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

> ***"was I interested in joining the council on foreign relations you know I would have to get a letter to join them"*** (Russo)

IV-A. The facts that check out

Membership by letter. This detail is accurate. The CFR's own membership page says candidates must be nominated in writing by a current member, with seconding letters from three or four others [14]. A declassified 1982 CIA reading-room document shows the same procedure decades ago: every candidate must be proposed in writing by one member and seconded by another [15].

Age. The narrator says the CFR has existed ***"for more than a century."*** It was incorporated on July 29, 1921 [16], so it is 105 years old. Accurate.

Rockefeller ties. Real and well documented. **David Rockefeller** belonged to the CFR from 1941 until his death in 2017 and chaired its board from 1970 to 1985, according to the CFR itself [17]. The Rockefeller Foundation began funding the Council in the late 1930s [16]. So a Rockefeller connection to the CFR is no myth; it simply runs through David Rockefeller, not through any Nicholas.

IV-B. The claim that does not check out as fact

> ***"they don't have an understanding that the CFR is really about world domination"*** (Russo)

The CFR describes itself as an independent, nonpartisan membership organization, think tank, and publisher that ***"takes no institutional positions on matters of policy"*** [18]. Its members have included people with every kind of view, among them actors, journalists, and scientists [9]. Whether its

influence is too great is a fair question for debate, but ***"world domination"*** is a judgment about hidden motives that no document in this research supports.

Finding: CORROBORATED. The membership-letter process, the CFR's age, and its Rockefeller ties are confirmed. The ***"world domination"*** charge is graded Opinion, Not a Testable Fact

V. THE FEDERAL RESERVE AND THE CENTRAL BANKS

V-A. The Communist Manifesto planks

> ***"central banking is one of the major planks of the communist manifesto"*** (Russo)

Witnesses. Russo is right that both items appear among the ten measures Marx and Engels listed in 1848. Plank 2 is ***"A heavy progressive or graduated income tax,"*** and Plank 5 is the centralization of credit in the hands of the state through a national bank with state capital and an exclusive monopoly [19][20]. Wikipedia's summary of the Manifesto lists the same two measures [21].

Context. Two cautions apply. First, Plank 5 calls for a bank owned by the state, while Russo himself argues the Federal Reserve is privately controlled; both cannot be the full picture at once. Second, many non-communist countries adopted central banks and graduated income taxes for their own reasons; sharing a measure with the Manifesto does not make a country communist. The Federal Reserve Act and the modern income tax both date from 1913 [22][23], but neither law created the other.

Finding: CORROBORATED. Both planks are in the Manifesto. Russo's conclusion that America is therefore a ***"socialist communistic country"*** is Opinion, Not a Testable Fact

V-B. The Nixon clip

> ***"I have directed Secretary Connley to suspend temporarily the convertability of the dollar into gold or other reserve assets."*** (Nixon clip in the video)

This is a genuine clip. President Nixon spoke these words in his televised address of August 15, 1971 [24][25]. The Federal Reserve's own history site confirms that the order closed the gold window, so that foreign governments could no longer trade dollars for gold, and the world moved to fiat money [26]. (The transcript misspells ***"Connally."***) The ***"temporary"*** suspension became permanent [25].

Finding: CORROBORATED

V-C. Does the New York Fed run the whole system?

> ***"the New York Fed is the main controlling interest of the Federal Reserve system"*** (Russo, quoting Rockefeller)

What is true. The New York Fed is special. It alone carries out the System's open-market operations, and its president is the only Reserve Bank president with a permanent vote on the Federal Open Market Committee [27][28]. Its president traditionally serves as the Committee's vice chairman [29].

What is overstated. The New York Fed does not set policy by itself. The FOMC sets national monetary policy [30]; the New York Fed carries out the Committee's directives [31]. With a full Board, the FOMC has twelve votes, seven of them held by Governors who are nominated by the President and confirmed by the Senate [32][33]. New York holds one of the twelve votes.

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. New York has the largest operational role and a permanent vote, but it is one vote of twelve, and the Washington Board holds the majority

V-D. Do private bankers create the money and collect the interest?

> ***"Why are we paying interest to these banks to make money for us when the government can do it itself"*** (Russo)

What is true. The twelve regional Reserve Banks are owned, on paper, by member commercial banks, which must buy stock in their district Reserve Bank [34]. Member banks elect six of the nine directors of each Reserve Bank [34][35]. In that sense the system has a private side.

What is missing. That stock is unlike ordinary stock: it cannot be sold, traded, or pledged, it pays a fixed dividend capped by law, and owning it gives member banks no control over the Reserve Bank [34][36]. After expenses and dividends, the Fed sends its profits to the U.S. Treasury. In 2014, for example, the Fed earned $101.3 billion and sent $96.9 billion of it to the Treasury [37]; in 2015 it sent $97.7 billion [38]. So most of the interest the Fed earns on government bonds goes back to the government. Since late 2022, rising interest rates have pushed the Fed into operating losses, and remittances stopped while it carries a ***"deferred asset"*** [39][40].

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. The private ownership feature is real, but the claim leaves out that the Fed's profits are returned to the Treasury and that member banks do not control it

V-E. Are the Bank of England, the Bundesbank, and all G8 central banks private?

> ***"the Bank of England is a private bank and so is the Bundes Bank in Germany and ... all the banks of the G8 countries are all private banks."*** (Russo)

Bank of England. Privately owned from 1694 until 1946, when Parliament nationalized it. The Bank of England Act 1946 brought its entire capital stock into public ownership [41]. The Bank's own explainer says it is wholly owned by the UK government, with its capital held by the Treasury Solicitor on behalf of HM Treasury [42]. A 2015 UK government notice repaid the very stock issued to buy out the old shareholders [43].

Deutsche Bundesbank. The Bundesbank Act states that its capital of 2.5 billion euro is owned by the Federal Republic of Germany [44].

Bank of Japan. About 55 percent of its capital is held by the government, and the Bank calls itself neither a government agency nor a private corporation [45]. Its private shareholders have no voting power over the Bank [46].

Bank of Italy. Here Russo has a point: its shareholders are private-sector entities [47]. Even so, studies of central banks with private shareholders find that the shareholders play no role in setting monetary policy [48].

Finding: CONTRADICTED BY THE RECORD. The Bank of England and the Bundesbank are state-owned by law. Of the central banks named, only Italy's has wholly private shareholders, and they do not control policy

V-F. The Rothschild saying

> ***"Whoever makes the money makes the rules. Roth child said that."*** (Russo)

This saying usually appears as ***"Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes its laws,"*** credited to Mayer Amschel Rothschild (died 1812). Word historians at ***Grammarphobia*** searched for an original letter, speech, or book and found none; the line was first pinned on Rothschild in Gertrude Coogan's 1935 book ***Money Creators***, more than a century after his death [49]. Researcher Barry Popik traces earlier versions to 1908 and 1914, where it was called a vague ***"maxim"*** of money-lenders, not a Rothschild statement [50]. The ***Jewish Chronicle*** reported in 2024 that a former British prime minister's book had repeated the false quote, and noted that Coogan was an antisemite [51]. The saying has long been used in antisemitic material, which is one more reason to stop repeating it.

Finding: CONTRADICTED BY THE RECORD. No original source exists. The quote is a later attribution

V-G. Gold-backed money without debt or inflation

Russo argues that if the government issued gold-backed money itself, there would be neither debt nor inflation. This is a policy argument, shared by some economists and rejected by most. It is a view about what ought to be done rather than a fact about what was done, so it is not graded here.

Finding: OPINION, NOT A TESTABLE FACT

VI. EUROPE AND NORTH AMERICA

VI-A. The European Constitution

> ***"Didn't Europe vote down uh the European Constitution? ... They're still doing it. They don't care what the people vote."*** (Russo and Jones)

Witnesses. French voters rejected the Constitution on May 29, 2005 (55 to 45 percent), and Dutch voters on June 1, 2005 (61 to 39 percent) [52]. The European leaders then wrote the Treaty of Lisbon, signed December 13, 2007, which preserved most of the Constitution's content but dropped the word ***"Constitution"*** [53]. The CVCE, a European research center, says the new treaty was largely based on the substance of the 2004 text [54]. When Irish voters rejected Lisbon in June 2008, a second vote was held in October 2009 and it passed [55].

Russo said this in 2006 or 2007, before Lisbon was even signed. On this point he described accurately what was under way.

Finding: CORROBORATED. The rejection and the leaders' decision to proceed with the substance under another name are confirmed by several sources

VI-B. A North American Union and a new currency

> ***"now they're trying to do it in America with the North American Union right and they want to create a new currency"*** (Russo)

What was real. In March 2005 the leaders of the United States, Canada, and Mexico formed the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP), a dialogue on security and trade [56]. A CFR-sponsored task force, also in 2005, recommended a North American ***"community"*** with a common security perimeter by 2010 [57].

What happened. No union and no common currency were ever created. Snopes notes that the integration proposals were think-tank recommendations, not treaties, laws, or official plans [58]. In August 2009 the SPP's own website declared it was no longer an active initiative [59]. Today the U.S.

dollar, Canadian dollar, and Mexican peso remain separate currencies, nearly two decades after the interview.

Finding: CONTRADICTED BY THE RECORD. There was a real 2005 partnership and a think-tank proposal behind the fear, but the union and currency Russo predicted did not come about

VII. CHIPS, CASH, AND DIGITAL MONEY

> ***"the whole agenda is to create a one world government where everybody has an an RFID chip implanted in them. All money is to be ... in those chips ... There'll be no more cash."*** (Russo)

This is the prediction the narrator leans on most: ***"Today cash is slowly disappearing from everyday life."*** Each part is checked separately below.

VII-A. Is cash disappearing?

The trend is real. In 2015, cash was the most-used payment method in the United States, about a third of all payments; by 2025, credit cards led with 34 percent [60]. One analysis of the Federal Reserve's 2025 payment-diary data puts cash at about 13.9 percent of consumer payments [61]. But cash has not vanished: the Fed's 2026 report found cash still in 76 percent of consumer wallets [62], and its own summary says the decade of data points to cash and other methods continuing side by side [63].

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. Cash use has fallen sharply, as Russo foresaw, but it has not been eliminated

VII-B. Implanted chips for everyone

Implanted chips exist, but only on a voluntary basis. About 4,000 Swedes had chosen implants by 2018 [64], and a Wisconsin company offered free implants to employees in 2017 [65]. The legal trend in America runs the opposite way from Russo's warning: at least eleven states had banned employers from requiring implants [64] before Washington State passed its own ban in March 2026 [66], and Nevada bans employer chip programs altogether, even voluntary ones [67].

Finding: CONTRADICTED BY THE RECORD. No country requires implants, and U.S. law is moving to forbid mandatory implants. The fear has not come to pass so far

VII-C. Government digital money

In January 2025 the President signed an executive order forbidding federal agencies to ***"establish, issue, or promote"*** a U.S. central bank digital currency [68]. In 2026 Congress went further, placing a

statutory ban on a U.S. digital currency through December 31, 2030 into a housing bill [69]. Europe, by contrast, is in an advanced preparation phase for a digital euro [69]. So, at least in the United States, a government-issued digital dollar that could be ***"turned off"*** is currently prohibited.

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. Digital currencies are being built in some regions, but the United States has banned one for now

VII-D. Britain's digital ID and the King's Speech

The narrator is correct. In the King's Speech of May 13, 2026, the UK government announced a ***Digital Access to Services Bill*** to create a legal framework for a state-issued digital ID [70][71]. Government briefings describe the scheme as voluntary and aimed at access to public services [72].

Finding: CORROBORATED

VII-E. The Anthropic warning

The narrator says researchers at Anthropic have openly discussed AI becoming catastrophically dangerous. That is accurate. In January 2026, Anthropic's chief executive Dario Amodei published a long essay, ***The Adolescence of Technology***, warning of risks including AI systems acting against human interests, misuse to build biological and cyber weapons, and use by authoritarian governments [73][74]. He argued that powerful AI could arrive within a few years [75].

Two cautions. First, the narrator himself admits ***"There was no evidence that such an event is being planned"***; the idea that an AI crisis would be used to force digital ID on everyone is his speculation, not something Amodei said. Second, for full disclosure: this report was prepared with the help of Claude, an AI system made by Anthropic. That is why Anthropic's own words are cited here only from the published essay and from independent press coverage.

Finding: CORROBORATED. The warnings were made publicly. The narrator's theory built on them is speculation

VIII. THE WOMEN'S LIBERATION CLAIM

> ***"We, the Rockefellers, funded that. We funded women's lib ... one reason was we couldn't tax half the population before women's lib. And the second reason was now we get the kids in school at an early age."*** (Russo, quoting Rockefeller)

VIII-A. Did the Rockefellers fund the women's movement?

Large foundations did fund parts of the movement, and this is openly recorded. The Rockefeller Archive Center (which holds the Ford Foundation's records) says the biggest philanthropic player in

women's studies from the early 1970s was the **Ford Foundation** [76]. ***Inside Philanthropy*** reports that the **Rockefeller Foundation**, along with Carnegie and Ford, began funding university women's studies programs and research on women's equality in the 1970s [77]. A Duke University study of nearly 6,500 foundation grants to women's causes from 1970 to 1990 found that foundations helped decide which voices in the movement were sustained [78]. Ford even says it funded the Ms. Foundation founded by Gloria Steinem [79].

What the record does not show is one family creating or controlling the movement. Women's studies, often called the movement's academic arm, had its roots in student protest on college campuses in the late 1960s, before the foundation grants of the 1970s arrived [80]. And Ford, not Rockefeller, was the largest single funder.

Finding: PARTLY CORROBORATED. The Rockefeller Foundation was one of several funders of women's causes. The claim that the Rockefellers created women's liberation is not supported

VIII-B. "We couldn't tax half the population"

This is plainly wrong as stated. From the start of the income tax in 1913 until 1948, the federal income tax was assessed essentially on an individual basis [81][82], so any woman who earned income was taxed on it. What did change was how many women worked for pay. The Bureau of Labor Statistics records women's labor-force participation at 33.9 percent in 1950 [83] and 43.3 percent in 1970 [84], peaking at 60 percent in 1999 [85]. More women in paid work did mean more taxable wages, but women were never untaxable, and most of the rise began before the women's liberation movement of the late 1960s.

Finding: CONTRADICTED BY THE RECORD. Women's earnings were taxed from 1913 onward

VIII-C. The motive: breaking up the family through the schools

The stated motive, that early schooling would teach children to see the state as their family, comes only from Russo's account of a private conversation. Public schooling and free education were already established long before the 1960s; no document found in this research shows the Rockefeller Foundation stating such an aim.

Finding: SINGLE WITNESS

IX. WHAT THE VIDEO'S NARRATOR ADDS

Readers should know that much of the PDF is not Russo at all. The narrator supplies the framing, and his statements fall into three groups:

- **Fair concessions.** He admits that what was said at private dinners ***"is something a photograph can never prove,"*** that the Israel-to-Arizona idea likely ***"never went beyond an idea,"*** and that there is ***"no evidence"*** of a planned AI crisis.
- **Leading questions.** Lines such as ***"Who are they?"*** and ***"What happens when the people doing the planning decide that the problem is us?"*** raise fear without adding evidence.
- **A sales pitch.** In Chapter 4 he directs viewers to an outside link of ***"uncensored"*** investigations about ***"other families"*** behind the curtain. That link is not part of the evidence and was not followed for this report.

The narrator also pairs Russo's claims with things that later happened (falling cash use, UK digital ID) to suggest the whole story has been proved. A correct prediction on one point does not prove a private conversation took place, especially when other predictions in the same interview (the North American Union, mandatory chips) have not come true.

X. CONCLUSION

What stands. Aaron Russo was a real and accomplished man. He knew someone named Nicholas Rockefeller. Several things he said can be checked and are accurate: the CFR's letter-based membership, the Rockefeller family's real ties to the CFR through David Rockefeller, the Communist Manifesto's planks, the Nixon gold decision, Europe's rejected constitution being revived as the Lisbon Treaty, and the long decline of cash.

What fails. Several checkable claims are wrong: the Bank of England and the Bundesbank are not private, the Rothschild quote has no source, women were taxed long before women's liberation, and the North American Union and mandatory chip implants have not happened in the nearly twenty years since.

What cannot be settled. The heart of the story, that a Rockefeller insider foretold 9/11, offered him a ***"KMA"*** chip, and confessed the family's motives for funding feminism, rests on one witness only. The other party never confirmed it, and he does not appear in the family's known lines. Under the two-or-three-witness standard, these claims are **not established**. They are not proven false either. They remain the testimony of one man, recorded near the end of his life.

Overall. Russo's ***concerns*** about concentrated financial power, central banking, and surveillance are concerns that serious people share and debate in the open. His ***evidence*** for a secret Rockefeller plan is a single, unconfirmed source. The PDF should be read as one man's testimony wrapped in a narrator's commentary, not as a verified account.

SOURCES

[1] Wikipedia, "Aaron Russo". https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aaron_Russo

[2] IMDb, Aaron Russo (1943–2007). https://m.imdb.com/name/nm0751567

[3] Associated Press via Backstage, "Manager, Film Producer Russo Dies at 64" (2007). https://www.backstage.com/magazine/article/manager-film-producer-russo-dies-33678/

[4] Las Vegas Sun, "Battle lines drawn in general election" (Sept. 2, 1998). https://lasvegassun.com/news/1998/sep/02/battle-lines-drawn-in-general-election/

[5] Las Vegas Sun, "Too much money, too few issues" (Aug. 29, 1998). https://lasvegassun.com/news/1998/aug/29/too-much-money-too-few-issues/

[6] 1998 Nevada gubernatorial election (citing Nevada Secretary of State official returns). https://wiki.riteme.site/wiki/Nevada_gubernatorial_election,_1998

[7] Las Vegas Review-Journal, "Political maverick Aaron Russo dies". https://www.reviewjournal.com/news/political-maverick-aaron-russo-dies

[8] Wikipedia, "Talk:Aaron Russo" (editors' discussion of the interview and photograph). https://www.wikipedia.com/wiki/Talk:Aaron_Russo

[9] Knowledge Fight, "Aaron Russo" primary-source review (2018). https://knowledgefight.com/primary-sources/2018/4/8/aaron-russo

[10] Elite Trader forum (reproducing a 2007 biography). https://www.elitetrader.com/et/threads/rip-aaron-russo-speaks-on-the-elite.150583/page-3

[11] TV Guide, Reflections and Warnings: An Interview with Aaron Russo (2009). https://www.tvguide.com/movies/reflections-and-warnings-an-interview-with-aaron-russo/cast/2000389155

[12] Metabunk forum discussion of the Rockefeller family tree. https://www.metabunk.org/goto/post?id=69950

[13] 911revision Substack (notes no Nick Rockefeller in the family). https://911revision.substack.com/p/david-rockefeller-the-mastermind

[14] Council on Foreign Relations, "Individual Membership". https://www.cfr.org/membership/individual-membership

[15] CIA Reading Room, "Council on Foreign Relations – Membership Selection Procedure" (1982). https://www.cia.gov/readingroom/node/959819

[16] Wikipedia, "Council on Foreign Relations". https://www.wikipedia.com/wiki/Cfr.org

[17] CFR, "Nelson and David Rockefeller Chair in Latin America Studies". https://www.cfr.org/support-cfr/nelson-and-david-rockefeller-chair-latin-america-studies/

[18] CFR Membership Brochure (2024). https://cdn.cfr.org/sites/default/files/pdf/CFR_Membership_Brochure_2024-07-11.pdf

[19] Heidelblog, "The Ten Points of Marxism" (text of the Manifesto's planks). https://heidelblog.net/2014/02/the-ten-points-of-marxism/

[20] Progress.org, the 10 planks of the Communist Manifesto. https://www.progress.org/articles/how-henry-george-might-have-corrected-the-marxian-communist-manifesto/

[21] Wikipedia, "The Communist Manifesto". https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Communist_Manifesto

[22] Congressional Research Service, "Federal Reserve: Dividends Paid to Commercial Banks". https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/IN10322.html

[23] Law review on marital taxation, 1913–1948 (core.ac.uk). https://core.ac.uk/download/235508565.pdf

[24] Richard Nixon Foundation, "The Challenge of a Peacetime Economy". https://www.nixonfoundation.org/2014/08/challenge-peace-nixons-new-economic-policy/

[25] Wikipedia, "Nixon shock". https://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nixon_Shock

[26] Federal Reserve History, “Nixon Ends Convertibility of U.S. Dollars to Gold”. https://www.federalreservehistory.org/essays/gold-convertibility-ends

[27] Federal Reserve Bank of New York, “More About What We Do”. https://www.newyorkfed.org/aboutthefed/more-about-what-we-do

[28] Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, “What is the Fed: Structure”. https://www.frbsf.org/teacher-resources/what-is-the-fed/structure

[29] Wikipedia, “Federal Reserve Bank of New York”. https://ipfs.aleph.im/ipfs/QmXoypizjW3WknFiJnKLwHCnL72vedxjQkDDP1mXWo6uco/wiki/New_York_Fed.html

[30] Federal Reserve Board, “Federal Open Market Committee”. https://www.federalreserve.gov/aboutthefed/structure-federal-open-market-committee.htm

[31] Federal Reserve Bank of New York, “Monetary Policy Implementation”. https://resources.newyorkfed.org/markets/domestic-market-operations/monetary-policy-implementation

[32] Money and Banking, “Fed division of powers: Who controls monetary policy?” (2025). https://www.moneyandbanking.com/commentary/2025/9/13/fed-division-of-powers-who-controls-monetary-policy

[33] Schwab, “Behind the Scenes at the Fed: Rates and Governors”. https://advisorservices.schwab.com/story/behind-scenes-fed-rates-and-governors

[34] Wikipedia, “Structure of the Federal Reserve System”. https://wikipedia.com/wiki/Structure_of_the_Federal_Reserve_System

[35] PolicyRisk, “Federal Reserve Banks — The Quasi-Private Structure of the Fed”. https://policyrisk.com/wiki/federal-reserve-banks-structure

[36] Wikiwand, “Structure of the Federal Reserve System” (ownership and control). https://wikiwand.com/en/articles/Structure_of_the_Federal_Reserve_System

[37] Congressional Research Service Insight IN10322 (2014 profits and remittances). https://sgp.fas.org/crs/misc/IN10322.pdf

[38] Federal Reserve summary (2015 and 2020 remittances). https://www.doc.surf/wiki/federal-reserve

[39] FRED Blog, “Federal Reserve remittances to the US Treasury”. https://fredblog.stlouisfed.org/

[40] “The 12 Federal Reserve Banks: How They Are Funded” (citing St. Louis Fed on the deferred asset). https://jwatte.com/blog/federal-reserve-regional-banks/

[41] UK legislation, Bank of England Act 1946 (as enacted). https://legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo6/9-10/27/enacted/data.pdf

[42] Bank of England, “Who owns the Bank of England?”. https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/explainers/who-owns-the-bank-of-england

[43] GOV.UK, “Debt issued to fund the 1946 nationalisation of the Bank of England to be repaid” (2015). https://www.gov.uk/government/news/debt-issued-to-fund-the-1946-nationalisation-of-the-bank-of-england-to-be-repaid

[44] Deutsche Bundesbank, Bundesbank Act (English translation), Section 2. https://bundesbank.de/resource/blob/618304/6d6986cbc43dff11cf78d56b2c29502b/472B63F073F071307366337C94F8C870/gesetz-ueber-die-deutsche-bundesbank-data.pdf

[45] Bank of Japan, “Outline of the Bank”. https://www.boj.or.jp/en/about/outline/

[46] Bank of Japan ownership summary. https://akarinohon.com/text/taketori.cgi/en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bank_of_Japan

[47] Bank Underground (Bank of England staff blog), “The ownership of central banks”. https://bankunderground.co.uk/tag/central-bank-ownership

[48] Economic Research Southern Africa, “Private Shareholding and Public Interest”. https://econrsa.org/publications/private-shareholding-and-public-interest-an-analysis-of-an-eclectic-group-of-central-banks-2/

[49] Grammarphobia, “Waxing Rothschild” (2013). https://www.grammarphobia.com/blog/2013/04/waxing-rothschild.html

[50] Barry Popik, “Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation”. https://barrypopik.com/blog/permit_me_to_issue_and_control_the_money_of_a_nation_and_i_care_not_who_mak

[51] The Jewish Chronicle, false Rothschild quote in Liz Truss memoir (2024). https://www.thejc.com/news/uk/liz-trusss-new-book-includes-false-rothschild-quote-about-control-of-money-jsy4f6k8

[52] "Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe" (referendum results). https://www.doc.surf/wiki/treaty-establishing-a-constitution-for-europe

[53] Wikipedia, Irish Lisbon referendum 2008. https://wikipedia.com/wiki/Irish_Referendum_12_June_2008

[54] CVCE, "The Treaty of Lisbon". https://www.cvce.eu/en/collections/unit-content/-/unit/df06517b-babc-451d-baf6-a2d4b19c1c88/d7da2396-d047-4c4e-ae1b-f9edb47e3739

[55] Swiss Federal Administration, "The Treaty of Lisbon". https://www.bundesreisezentrale.admin.ch/europa/en/home/europaeische-union/portrait-geschichte-eu/vertrag-lissabon.html

[56] Wikipedia, "North American Union". https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_American_Union

[57] Mdwiki, "North American Union" (CFR task force). https://mdwiki.org/wiki/User:The_Devil%27s_Advocate/North_American_Union

[58] Snopes, North American Union. https://www.snopes.com/politics/immigration/nau.asp

[59] "Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America" (2009 status). https://kaweah.freedombox.rocks/kiwix/wikipedia_en_all_maxi_2023-10/North_American_Community_of_Nations

[60] Pew Research Center, "From cash to credit" (Sept. 30, 2026). https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2026/09/30/from-cash-to-credit-how-americans-payment-methods-have-changed-in-the-past-decade/

[61] Eco3min, "How Americans pay cash" (2025 Fed diary microdata). https://eco3min.fr/en/cash-use-in-america-by-age-and-purchase-size/

[62] Digital Transactions, 2026 Diary of Consumer Payment Choice. https://www.digitaltransactions.net/?p=1333418

[63] Federal Reserve Financial Services, "2026 Findings from the Diary of Consumer Payment Choice". https://www.frbservices.org/binaries/content/assets/crsocms/news/research/2026-diary-consumer-payments-choice.pdf

[64] LexisNexis State Net, "Washington joins states limiting employer-mandated worker microchipping" (Apr. 2026). https://www.lexisnexis.com/community/amp-insights/112/washington-joins-states-limiting-employer-mandated-worker-microchipping

[65] CSIS, "Fear, Uncertainty, and Doubt about Human Microchips". https://www.csis.org/blogs/strategic-technologies-blog/fear-uncertainty-and-doubt-about-human-microchips

[66] Futura Sciences, Washington HB 2303 (Mar. 2026). https://www.futura-sciences.com/en/microchipping-employees-this-u-s-state-wants-to-stop-the-practice-before-it-even-begins_33410/

[67] Washington Times, "Fears spread over businesses implanting microchips in workers" (Apr. 3, 2026). https://m.washingtontimes.com/news/2026/apr/3/fears-spread-businesses-implanting-microchips-workers/

[68] Nasdaq, "President Trump Signs Executive Order to Ban CBDCs" (2025). https://www.nasdaq.com/articles/president-trump-signs-executive-order-ban-central-bank-digital-currencies-cbdc

[69] Baker McKenzie, "The Digital Dollar Freeze" (June 24, 2026). https://blockchain.bakermckenzie.com/2026/06/24/the-digital-dollar-freeze-housing-bill-codifies-the-u-s-anti-cbdc-stance/

[70] techUK, "UK Government includes Digital ID in King's Speech" (May 13, 2026). https://www.techuk.org/insights/policy-views/uk-government-include-digital-id-in-kings-speech-digital-access-to-services-bill

[71] Mobile ID World, "UK Revives National Digital ID Plan" (2026). https://www.mobileidworld.com/uk-revives-national-digital-id-plan-with-digital-access-to-services-bill/

[72] Global Government Forum, King's Speech legislative priorities (May 14, 2026). https://www.globalgovernmentforum.com/digital-id-and-civil-service-reform-among-uk-governments-legislative-priorities-as-pressure-mounts-on-pm/

[73] Dario Amodei, "The Adolescence of Technology" (summary listing). https://stafforini.com/works/amodei-2026-adolescence-of-technology/

[74] Fortune, on Amodei's essay (Jan. 27, 2026). https://fortune.com/2026/01/27/anthropic-ceo-dario-amodei-essay-warning-ai-adolescence-test-humanity-risks-remedies/

[75] Decrypt, "Anthropic CEO Says AI Progress Is Outpacing Society's Ability to Control It". https://decrypt.co/355908/anthropic-ceo-ai-progress-outpacing-ability-control

[76] Rockefeller Archive Center, "The Fairy Godmothers of Women's Studies". https://resource.rockarch.org/?p=11195

[77] Inside Philanthropy, "What's the Rockefeller Foundation Doing for Women's Advancement?" (2016). https://www.insidephilanthropy.com/home/2016/5/31/whats-the-rockefeller-foundation-doing-for-womens-advancemen.html

[78] Duke University Scholars, "Foundations of feminism: How philanthropic patrons shaped gender politics". https://scholars.duke.edu/individual/pub696298

[79] Ford Foundation, "Changing the game for women and girls". https://fordfoundation.org/news-and-stories/big-ideas/the-future-is-hers/changing-the-game-for-women-and-girls/1985-2000

[80] Rockefeller Archive Center, origins of women's studies in 1960s campus dissent. https://resource.rockarch.org/story/field-building-fairy-godmothers/

[81] Cambridge, Law and History Review, "Split Income and Separate Spheres". https://cambridge.org/core/journals/law-and-history-review/article/abs/split-income-and-separate-spheres-tax-law-and-gender-roles-in-the-1940s/DE6534059D8F5CE17FAAD30F24409CBF

[82] Roosevelt Institute, history of joint filing. https://rooseveltinstitute.org/publications/its-time-to-end-joint-tax-filing/

[83] U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Changes in women's labor force participation in the 20th century". https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2000/feb/wk3/art03.htm

[84] Status of Women in the States, "Women's Labor Force Participation". https://statusofwomendata.org/earnings-and-the-gender-wage-gap/womens-labor-force-participation/

[85] U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Spotlight on Statistics: Women in the Workforce. https://ecommons.cornell.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/078a1e00-4ba6-4757-8da9-e0723b6dbaca/content

---